



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/075,861	10/075,861 02/14/2002		Timothy Andreas Meserth	RPS920010150US1	9416	
25299	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER		
IBM CORP PO BOX 121		V	HANNE, SARA M			
DEPT YXSA)2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESEARCH	TRIANGL	E PARK, NC 27	2179			

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

//-		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			5,861	MESERTH ET AL.					
			ner	Art Unit					
		Sara N	l. Hanne	2179					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sisions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. stutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be timed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>27 July 2005</i>							
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
8)[_	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internatio			1					
- 3	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the c	enned copies not receive	ea.					
Attache: -									
Attachmen	i(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the amendment received on 7/27/05. Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al., US Patent 5999162, and further in view of Balassanian, US Patent 6507349.

Takahashi et al. teaches an icon as a portion of the display (winding-up position line, Figure 10) determining the position of the icon (predetermined) and refreshing the graphical representation responsive to receiving a new data point (Column 4, lines 45-53), wherein the position of the icon determines how much historical data is retained in the refreshed display (Column 2, lines 11-20). While Takahashi et al. teaches refreshing the display where the amount of information to be retained is based on a user determined position, they fail to show the user positionable icon as a portion of the display as recited in Claims 1 and 8. In the same field of the invention, Balassanian teaches a graphical display with data adjustment similar to that of Takahashi et al. In addition, Balassanian further teaches a user-positionable icon as a portion of the display

controlling the amount of information to be retained onscreen (Figures 4L and 4M with corresponding text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Takahashi et al. and Balassanian before him at the time the invention was made, to modify refreshing of the display where the amount of information to be retained is based on a user determined position taught by Takahashi et al. to include the user-positionable icon of Balassanian, in order to obtain an interface for controlling the amount of information to be retained when the screen is refreshed. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because an interactive and runtime control for setting the desired display area would have been obtained, as taught by Balassanian.

As in Claims 2 and 9, Takahashi teaches the graphical representation to be refreshed when the graphical representation is full (Column 2, lines 15-21).

As in Claims 3 and 10, Takahashi teaches shifting all data points horizontally by a displacement, the displacement determined by the position of the icon ("moving the graph to a predetermined position toward the one end of the display screen", Column 2, lines 14-16).

As in Claims 4 and 11, Takahashi teaches appending a new data point to the display without discarding any historical data when the display is not full (Column 2, lines 15-21).

As in Claims 5 and 12, Takahashi teaches the position of the icon determining the location of the first new data point occurring after the display is refreshed (Column 7, lines 42-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,861

Art Unit: 2179

As in Claims 6 and 13, Takahashi teaches the representation including a left side vertical axis and a right side vertical axis, wherein data points in proximity to the left-side vertical axis are older than data points in proximity to the right-side vertical axis (Column 5, lines 7-14, Figures 10-11 and corresponding text).

As in Claims 7 and 14, Takahashi teaches the positioning of the icon at the left-side vertical axis will erase all historical data when the representation is refreshed and wherein positioning of the icon at the right side vertical axis will erase a single data point when the representation is refreshed (Columns 7-8, lines 61-6, respectively).

4. Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al., US Patent 5999162, hereinafter Takahashi, and further in view of Holzman et al., US Patent 6064401, hereinafter Holzman.

As in Claim 15, Takahashi teaches an icon as a portion of the display (winding-up position line, Figure 10) determining the position of the icon (predetermined) and refreshing the graphical representation responsive to receiving a new data point (Column 4, lines 45-53), wherein the position of the icon determines how much historical data is retained in the refreshed display (Column 2, lines 11-20). While Takahashi teaches refreshing the display where the amount of information to be retained is based on a user determined position, they fail to show the user positionable icon as a portion of the display moveable along the horizontal axis as recited in Claim 15. In the same field of the invention, Holzman teaches a realtime graphical display with a horizontal axis representing time and the vertical axis representing a parameter

Art Unit: 2179

of interest and data adjustment similar to that of Takahashi. In addition, Holzman further teaches a user-positionable icon as a portion of the display controlling the amount of information to be retained onscreen moveable along the horizontal axis (Figure 6 and corresponding text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Takahashi and Holzman before him at the time the invention was made, to modify refreshing of the display where the amount of information to be retained is based on a user determined position taught by Takahashi to include the user-positionable icon of Holzman, in order to obtain an interface for controlling the amount of information to be retained when the screen is refreshed. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because an interactive and runtime control for setting the desired display area would have been obtained, as taught by Holzman (Col. 1, lines 27 et seq.).

As in Claim 16, Takahashi teaches the graphical representation to be refreshed when the graphical representation is full (Column 2, lines 15-21).

As in Claim 17, Takahashi teaches shifting all data points horizontally by a displacement, the displacement determined by the position of the icon ("moving the graph to a predetermined position toward the one end of the display screen", Column 2, lines 14-16).

As in Claim 18, Takahashi teaches appending a new data point to the display without discarding any historical data when the display is not full (Column 2, lines 15-21).

Art Unit: 2179

As in Claim 19, Takahashi teaches the position of the icon determining the location of the first new data point occurring after the display is refreshed (Column 7, lines 42-47).

As in Claim 20, Takahashi teaches the representation including a left side vertical axis and a right side vertical axis, wherein data points in proximity to the left-side vertical axis are older than data points in proximity to the right-side vertical axis (Column 5, lines 7-14, Figures 10-11 and corresponding text).

As in Claim 21, Takahashi teaches the positioning of the icon at the left-side vertical axis will erase all historical data when the representation is refreshed and wherein positioning of the icon at the right side vertical axis will erase a single data point when the representation is refreshed (Columns 7-8, lines 61-6, respectively).

Art Unit: 2179

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the argument that Balassian fails to teach "user positional icons" the examiner disagrees. In regards to Figures 4L and 4M which the user cited in the previous office action, Balassian teaches the cursor (a user positional icon) that may be tugged to initiate a change in how much historical data is retained in the refreshed display (Col. 10, lines 23 et seq.). Furthermore, in Figure 4D, ref. 405, Balassian teaches a hand icon that is user positional which determines how much historical data is retained in the refreshed display (Col. 5, lines 21-42).

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 15-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Holzman was presented to illustrate the amended limitations in Claim 15, however it is also relevant to the application as a whole.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar graphical display controls and slider bars.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M. Hanne whose telephone number is (571) 272-4135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,861

Art Unit: 2179

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WEILUN LO can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smh

WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9